

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/090,102	03/02/2002	Alex G. Zeif	20110/00401 4425		
30636 7	590 07/05/2005	EXAMINER			
FAY KAPLUN & MARCIN, LLP 150 BROADWAY, SUITE 702			ASSOUAD, PATRICK J		
NEW YORK,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2857		
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\wedge
(!)
V
\sim

	•	Application No. Applicant(s)						
,	Advisory Action	10/090,102	ZEIF, ALEX G.					
В	efore the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Patrick J. Assouad	2857					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE RE	PLY FILED 20 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
th pl: (3 fo	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of		e final rejection, whicheve	arie later In no				
υ) L	b) Last The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMEND	AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
	he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
th	e non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
С	laim(s) allowed:							
	laim(s) objected to:							
C	laim(s) rejected: laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. 🔲 i	12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
			Patrick J Assouad	•				

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2857

Continuation of 11.

it does NOT place-the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's argument is simply that: "... the Applicant respectfully submits that Musafia, Lin and Szabados, either alone or in combination, do do not teach or suggest "collecting real time equipment information from a production line" as recited in claim 18. Accordingly, the Applicantt respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of claim 1... Independent claim 43 recites the same limitation as claim 18, i.e. "collecting real-time equipment information" Accordingly for the same reasons described above with respect to claim 18, the Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the rejection of claim 43...

This is the same argument already addressed in the Examiner's Final Rejection, and "collecting real time equipment information from a production line" is certainly not new in the art as evidenced by the prior art of record.